



Letter

Cite this article: Brugger SO, Gobet E, Schanz FR, Heiri O, Schwörer C, Sigl M, Schwikowski M, Tinner W (2019). Why loss matters: Reply to the comments of Festi and others on 'A quantitative comparison of microfossil extraction methods from ice cores' by Brugger and others (2018). *Journal of Glaciology* **65**, 867–868. <https://doi.org/10.1017/jog.2019.61>

Received: 18 February 2019

Revised: 29 July 2019

Accepted: 29 July 2019

First published online: 5 September 2019


Keywords:

Glaciological instruments and methods; ice biology; ice core

Author for correspondence:

Sandra O. Brugger, E-mail: sandra.bruegger@ips.unibe.ch

Why loss matters: Reply to the comments of Festi and others on 'A quantitative comparison of microfossil extraction methods from ice cores' by Brugger and others (2018)

Sandra O. Brugger^{1,2} , Erika Gobet^{1,2}, Federica R. Schanz¹, Oliver Heiri³, Christoph Schwörer^{1,2}, Michael Sigl^{2,4,5}, Margit Schwikowski^{2,5,6} and Willy Tinner^{1,2}

¹Institute of Plant Sciences, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; ²Oeschger Center for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; ³Department of Environmental Sciences, University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland; ⁴Climate and Environmental Physics, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland; ⁵Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, Switzerland and ⁶Department for Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Bern, Bern, Switzerland

In their comment to our recently published paper (Brugger and others, 2018a), Festi and others (2019) conducted a reliability test of two standardized marker tablets (*Lycopodium* and *Eucalyptus*), which in our opinion confirms our interpretations. Indeed, based on 20 replicates, their analyses show a striking linear relationship between the two markers (visible in Fig. 1 of their comment). However, we argue that statistically and methodologically it is impossible to question the reliability of individual markers solely based on a bivariate marker ratio and a test of 20 tablets:

- (1) Previous studies showed that a microfossil ratio yields statistically robust relationships (95% confidence) when counting a minimum of 200 items per sample (including *Lycopodium*; Finsinger and Tinner, 2005; Payne and Mitchell, 2009; Campbell and others, 2016). Thus, the additional counting efforts by Festi and others (2019) would have been more fruitfully invested in counting more replicates than increasing the counting sums. Specifically, a set of 10 or 20 replicates is too low to draw conclusions on the absolute uncertainty of the tablet contents, and particularly for assessing differences in ratios of pollen counts, as done by Festi and others (2019). For univariate datasets a minimum of 30 observations is typically required (Bahrenberg and others, 1990). For bivariate datasets the size of samples is usually expected to be >100 (Mittag, 2011).
- (2) To test the reliability of individual marker uncertainties, the authors would need an independent third marker (or reference) rather than a ratio of two variables (Maher, 2000). Alternatively, the authors may count the total absolute content of each tablet but this is more difficult to achieve (thousands of items).
- (3) Research groups in paleoecology successfully use *Lycopodium* and/or *Eucalyptus* tablets since decades (Maher, 1981, 2000). Recent successful *Eucalyptus* marker-based studies are e.g. Laroche and others (2018) and Salonen and others (2018). Thus there is no need to question the *Eucalyptus* marker procedure, especially given the clear linkage found by the authors that shows that counts of *Eucalyptus* pollen grains could be used to carefully estimate the mean *Lycopodium* spore concentrations, if only absolute numbers of *Eucalyptus* would be known (Maher, 2000). Indeed, we agree with Festi and others (2019) that the tablets need to derive from standardized and tested production, as all tablets (*Lycopodium* and *Eucalyptus*) did that we used in our study. In fact, they were produced following the same standardized procedure established at the University of Lund (Stockmarr, 1971; Maher, 2000). Moreover, the reliability of tablets from a batch depends on how complete they are and how they have been stored. This is why all marker tablets in Brugger and others (2018a, 2018b) were first checked for potential erosion.

Taken together, the comment by Festi and others (2019) as well as our own published test with ten tablet replicates clearly show that abundance estimates based on the two used markers, *Lycopodium* and *Eucalyptus*, are strongly related, for instance the means of the pollen or spore concentrations are mutually predictable in their study. Most importantly, the majority of observations (60% of 20 tested tablets) is actually within the uncertainty estimates (one std dev. = 68% of observations) as predicted based on the estimate by the tablet producer. If the statistical population (*N*) contains only 20 observations, each random observation has a numerical weight of 5%, thus a divergence of 8% (60% inside the ratio limits instead of 68% as provided by the tablet producers) is well within the expected variability. It could also be that part of this difference is due to the *Lycopodium* tablets. Hence, Festi and others (2019) counts clearly show that the ratio *Lycopodium*:*Eucalyptus* cannot vary between 0.3 and 1.8 as claimed by O'Rourke (1986). We thus strongly suggest trusting the tablet uncertainties provided by the laboratory. In line with this reasoning, previous studies suggested that adding two markers (i.e. *Lycopodium* and *Eucalyptus*) as a standard to each sample may

help to further reduce the uncertainty range of the markers for microfossil concentrations (Adam and Robinson, 1988).

Furthermore, the differences in pollen percentages between the centrifugation-based methods (FESTI, EICHLER) compared to the filtration- and evaporation-based methods indicates a clear variation in pollen composition (pollen percentages), which is independent from marker-based concentration estimates. Thus, as Festi and others (2019) correctly repeat, the method differences have important implications for the interpretation of pollen records with a preferential loss of vesiculate pollen when using centrifugation-based methods, which is one of the fundamental conclusions in our method comparison (Brugger and others, 2018a).

The rather low altitude of Ortles glacier (3880 m a.s.l.) in Italy presented by Festi and others (2019) leads to exceptionally high microfossil concentrations that allow the use of very small sample volumes (only 30 ml), which do not require multiple centrifugation steps. Our method comparison instead investigates ice archives with much lower microfossil concentrations. Therefore, it must be far more sensitive and robust at the same time. For instance, palynological Central Asian high-alpine (>4000 m a.s.l.) ice core analyses required ~200–400 ml of water to produce reliable results (Brugger and others, 2018b, 2019a), while for remote Central Greenland ice cores ~2000 ml were required (Brugger and others, 2019b). Clearly, we never intended to design a method specifically for Ortles glacier as assumed by Festi and others (2019) (e.g. with a very low sample volume and very limited centrifugation steps). Our method is instead designed for typical high-altitude continental (>4000 m a.s.l.) and remote polar ice archives that have far lower microfossil concentrations (Brugger and others, 2018b, 2019a, 2019b).

The conclusions by Festi and others (2019) seem therefore highly narrow in scope and solely applicable to the special, low-altitude ice archive of Ortles glacier. In summary, we feel that it is comprehensible that Festi and others (2019) provide further details on their original method for Ortles glacier (Festi and others, 2015). We compared this method with other methods in a standardized framework by clearly labeling it as ‘modified’. The marker test presented in the comment by Festi and others (2019) provides firm evidence on the utility of *Eucalyptus*: *Lycopodium* marker ratios, which is correct but not a novel finding (see e.g. Adam and Robinson, 1988; Maher, 2000; Brugger and others, 2018a). Festi and others (2019) clarify methodical details on their approach but conclude from their Ortles view that the comparison of the six tested methods is questionable. We feel that this overall conclusion made by Festi and others (2019) is unsupported by the available methodological and empirical evidence. Finally, Festi and others (2019) arguments do not change that several of the evaluated approaches (e.g. BRUGGER, LIU; Liu and others, 1998; Brugger and others, 2018a) result in more stable ratios between the two markers and group closer to expected values, than others (e.g. FESTI, EICHLER; Eichler and others, 2011; Festi and others, 2015).

Acknowledgements. We thank Ozan Akdogan for his advice on univariate and bivariate statistics, we are grateful to César Morales del Molino, and we acknowledge the SINERGIA project Paleo fires from high-alpine ice cores funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (SNF grant 154450).

Furthermore, we thank two anonymous reviewers for commenting and the editor Martyn Tranter for handling our reply.

References

- Adam DP and Robinson SW (1988) Palynology of two upper Quaternary cores from Clear Lake, Lake County, California. USGS Professional Paper 1363, pp. 1–86.
- Bahrenberg G, Giese E and Nipper J (1990) Statistische Methoden in der Geographie. Teubner Studienbücher der Geographie, Band 1, Teubner Stuttgart, 233 pp.
- Brugger SO and others (2018a) A quantitative comparison of microfossil extraction methods from ice cores. *Journal of Glaciology* **64**(245), 432–442.
- Brugger SO and others (2018b) Ice records provide new insights into climatic vulnerability of Central Asian forest and steppe communities. *Global and Planetary Change* **169**, 188–201.
- Brugger SO and others (2019a) Tropical Andean glacier reveals colonial legacy in modern mountain ecosystems. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **220**, 1–13.
- Brugger SO and others (2019b) Palynological insights into global change impacts on Arctic vegetation, fire, and pollution recorded in Central Greenland ice. *Holocene* **29**(7), 1189–1197.
- Campbell JFE, Fletcher WJ, Hughes PD and Shuttleworth EL (2016) A comparison of pollen extraction methods confirms dense-media separation as a reliable method of pollen preparation. *Journal of Quaternary Science* **31**(6), 631–640.
- Eichler A and others (2011) An ice-core based history of Siberian forest fires since AD 1250. *Quaternary Science Reviews* **30**(9), 1027–1034.
- Festi D and others (2015) A novel pollen-based method to detect seasonality in ice cores: a case study from the Ortles glacier, South Tyrol, Italy. *Journal of Glaciology* **61**(229), 815–824.
- Festi D, Kofler W and Oegg K (2019) Comments on Brugger and others (2018) ‘A quantitative comparison of microfossil extraction methods from ice cores’. *Journal of Glaciology* **65**(250), 344–346.
- Finsinger W and Tinner W (2005) Minimum count sums for charcoal concentration estimates in pollen slides: accuracy and potential errors. *Holocene* **15**(2), 293–297.
- Laroche É, Lavoie M, Grondin P and Couillard PL (2018) Vegetation and climate history of Québec’s mixed boreal forest suggests greater abundance of temperate species during the early and mid-Holocene. *Botany* **96**(7), 437–448.
- Liu KB, Yao Z and Thompson LG (1998) A pollen record of Holocene climatic changes from the Dunde ice cap, Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Geology* **26**(2), 135–138.
- Maher LJ (1981) Statistics for microfossil concentration measurements employing samples spiked with marker grains. *Review of Palaeobotany and Palynology* **32**(2–3), 153–191.
- Maher LJ (2000) Calibrating new spore tablets. INQUA Sub-commission Data-Handling Methods, *Newsletter*, 19, pp. 1–7.
- Mittag HJ (ed.) (2011) *Statistik. Springer-Lehrbuch*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 167–173.
- O’Rourke MK (1986) *The Implications of Atmospheric Pollen Rain on Fossil Pollen Profiles in the Arid Southwest (Aerobiology, Palaeobotany, Taphonomy, Preservation)* (Dissertation). University of Arizona, Tucson, 189 pp.
- Payne RJ and Mitchell EA (2009) How many is enough? Determining optimal count totals for ecological and palaeoecological studies of testate amoebae. *Journal of Paleolimnology* **42**(4), 483–495.
- Salonen JS and others (2018) Abrupt high-latitude climate events and decoupled seasonal trends during the Eemian. *Nature Communications* **9**(1), 2851.
- Stockmarr JA (1971) Tablets with spores used in absolute pollen analysis. *Pollen Spores* **13**, 615–621.